

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
. 09/744,181	03/12/2001	Gunter Kneppe	HM-388 PCT	3009	
75	90 08/26/2009		EXAMINER		
Friedrich Kue					
317 Madison Avenue Suite 910		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
New York, NY	10017				
•			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2009	DATE MAILED: 08/26/2009	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
lotification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		09/744,181	KNEPPE ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
•		Clark Dexter	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
The Ap	The Appeal Brief filed on 17 July 2009 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.							
1205.03	d dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	the mailing date of this Notificatio	ate correction (se n, whichever is l	ee MPEP onger.				
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.							
2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).							
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).							
4. 🗌	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).							
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))							
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).							
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).							
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).							
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$ ).							
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):						
	1.) The status of claims section fails to explicitly state which claims are on appeal. 2.) The heading "Grouping of claims" is no longer required 37 CFR 41.37(C).							
		/Timothy Cole/ T.Cole Patent Appeal Specialist 571 272-0999						

9